

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,772	07/02/2001	Philip Needleman	01-481-D	2863	
75	90 01/08/2003				
Steven J. Sarussi			EXAMINER		
McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff			WANG OFFICIENT		
32nd Floor			WANG, SHENGJUN		
300 S. Wacker Drive					
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1617	-	
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	. Applicant(s)	_			
	•	09/898,772	NEEDLEMAN, PHILIP	NEEDLEMAN, PHILIP			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Shengjun Wang	g 1617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cove	er sheet with the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •	/ 10 0ET TO EV	DIDE 2 MONTH/S) EDOM				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory min vill apply and will expire cause the application to	vever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠							
2a)⊠ —	,	is action is non-f					
3)[Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi						
Dispositi	on of Claims	ex parto quayro	, 1000 C.B. 11, 400 C.C. 210.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep		•				
11)[] -	Applicant may not request that any objection to the Fhe proposed drawing correction filed on						
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
- /-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified co	opies not received.				
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment	t(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/898,772

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of applicants' remarks submitted December 2, 2002 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for reasons stated in the prior office action.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ducharme et al. (WO 96/11676, IDS) in view of Talley et al. (US Patent 5,760,068) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Isakson et al. (US Patent 6,136,839, IDS) for reasons set forth in the prior office action.

Response to the Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/898,772

Art Unit: 1617

Applicants' remarks submitted December 2, 2002 have been fully considered, but are not persuasive for reasons discussed below.

Applicants' assertion that the office does not provide particularized and definite evidence is improper. The examiner specifically point out the state of art related to Alzheimer's disease, the predictability of the related art, and the fact that no known method for preventing Alzheimer's disease. Applicants provide no evidence rebutting the examiner's argument. The claimed invention, considered as whole, is not enabled under 35 U.S.C. 112. Note a simple statement without any working examples is not sufficient to enable a method of preventing a disorders, wherein a skilled artisan, at the time the invention was made, is not able to accomplish such prevention in any method, and the underline etiology is unknown, or unclear to the skilled artisan.

Applicants' arguments regarding the 103 rejections are most since the application has not properly established the priority. See the first paragraph in the last office action. Note the instant application filed on July 2, 2001. Both Tally et al. Patent and Isakson et al. patent were issued before the filing date of this application, and are either 102(b) or 102(a) type reference.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1617

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Examiner

Shengjun Wang

January 3, 2003

iseell traver: Dlary Examine Group 1200